

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/373,625	Applicant(s) GRANGER ET AL.	
	Examiner Nga B. Nguyen	Art Unit 3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nga B. Nguyen. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ed Garlepp. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 May 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 43-57 and 60-60<sup>63</sup>.

Identification of prior art discussed: 101 rejection.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion the rejection under 101, applicant argument over come 101 rejection. However, the new rejection with new prior arts may be issue by Examiner. Examiner will consider targeted promotion feature of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required